# **BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Promote Policy and Program Coordination and Integration in Electric Utility Resource Planning	Rulemaking 04-04-003 (Filed April 1, 2004)
Order Instituting Rulemaking to Promote Consistency in Methodology and Input Assumptions in Commission Applications of Short-Run and Long-Run Avoided Costs, Including Pricing for Qualifying Facilities	Rulemaking 04-04-025 (Filed April 22, 2004)
Application of Southern California Edison Company (U 338-E) for Applying the Market Index Formula and As-Available Capacity Prices Adopted in D.07-09-040 to Calculate Short-Run Avoided Cost for Payments to Qualifying Facilities Beginning July 2003 and Associated	Application 08-11-001 (Filed November 4, 2008)
- <del>Relief</del> Order Instituting Rulemaking into Implementation of Public Utilities Code Section 390	Rulemaking 99-11-022 (Filed November 18, 1999)
Order Instituting Rulemaking to Integrate Procurement Policies and Consider Long-Term Procurement Plans	Rulemaking 06-02-013 (Filed February 16, 2006)

# MOTION FOR EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION OF JOINT MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF QUALIFYING FACILITY AND COMBINED HEAT AND POWER PROGRAM SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

Michael D. Montoya Carol A. Schmid-Frazee Southern California Edison Company 2244 Walmut Grove Avenue Rosemead, CA 91770-3714 Telephone: (626) 302-1337 Facsimile: (626) 302-1935 E-Mail: Carol.SchmidFrazee@sce.com

## Attorneys for Southern California Edison Company

Georgetta J. Baker San Diego Gas & Electric Company 101 Ash Street, HQ12 San Diego, CA 92101-3017 Telephone: (619) 699-5064 Facsimile: (619) 699-5027 E-Mail: gbaker@sempra.com

## Attorney for San Diego Gas & Electric Company

Jerry R. Bloom Winston & Strawn LLP 333 So. Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071-1504 Telephone: (213) 615-1700 Facsimile: (213) 615-1750 E-Mail: jbloom@winston.com

### Attorney for California Cogeneration Council

Michael P. Alcantar Alcantar & Kahl 33 New Montgomery St., Suite 1850 San Francisco, CA 94105-4511 Telephone: (415) 421-4143 Facsimile: (415) 989-1263 E:mail: mpa@a-klaw.com

Attorney for the Cogeneration Association of California and The Energy Producers and Users Coalition

October 8, 2010

Mary A. Gandesbery Charles R. Middlekauff Evelyn C. Lee Pacific Gas and Electric Company 77 Beale Street San Francisco, CA 94105-1814 Telephone: (415) 973-0675 Facsimile: (415) 973-5520 E-Mail: magg@pge.com

## Attorney for Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Michel Peter Florio The Utility Reform Network 115 Sansome Street, Suite 900 San Francisco, CA 94104-3624 Telephone: (415) 929-8776, ext. 302 Facsimile: (415) 929-1132 E-Mail: mflorio@turn.org

### Attorney for The Utility Reform Network

Douglas K. Kerner Ellison, Schneider & Harris 2600 Capitol Avenue, Suite 400 Sacramento, CA 95816-5931 Telephone: (916) 447-2166 Facsimile: (916) 446-3512 E-Mail: dkk@eslawfirm.com

# Attorney for Independent Energy Producers Association

Lisa-Marie G. Salvacion Division of Ratepayer Advocates 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102-3214 Telephone: (415) 703-2069 Facsimile: (415) 703-2057 E-Mail: Ims@cpuc.ca.gov

## Attorney for California Public Utilities Commission, Division of Ratepayer Advocates

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Promote Policy and Program Coordination and Integration in Electric Utility Resource Planning	Rulemaking 04-04-003 (Filed April 1, 2004)
Order Instituting Rulemaking to Promote Consistency in Methodology and Input Assumptions in Commission Applications of Short-Run and Long-Run Avoided Costs, Including Pricing for Qualifying Facilities	Rulemaking 04-04-025 (Filed April 22, 2004)
Application of Southern California Edison Company (U 338-E) for Applying the Market Index Formula and As-Available Capacity Prices Adopted in D.07-09-040 to Calculate Short-Run Avoided Cost for Payments to Qualifying Facilities Beginning July 2003 and Associated	Application 08-11-001 (Filed November 4, 2008)
-Relief Order Instituting Rulemaking into Implementation of Public Utilities Code Section 390	Rulemaking 99-11-022 (Filed November 18, 1999)
Order Instituting Rulemaking to Integrate Procurement Policies and Consider Long-Term Procurement Plans	Rulemaking 06-02-013 (Filed February 16, 2006)

# MOTION FOR EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION OF JOINT MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF QUALIFYING FACILITY AND COMBINED HEAT AND POWER PROGRAM SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

Pursuant to California Public Utilities Commission ("Commission") Rule

11.1, Pacific Gas and Electric Company ("PG&E"), Southern California Edison

Company ("SCE"), San Diego Gas & Electric Company ("SDG&E"), the California

Cogeneration Council ("CCC"), the Independent Energy Producers Association

("IEP"), the Cogeneration Association of California ("CAC"), the Energy Producers

and Users Coalition ("EPUC"), the Division of Ratepayer Advocates ("DRA"), and The Utility Reform Network ("TURN") (the parties are referred to hereinafter collectively as the "Joint Parties") request that the Assigned Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") and the Commission expedite consideration of the *Joint Motion For Approval Of Qualifying Facility And Combined Heat And Power Program Settlement Agreement* ("Joint Motion") that is being filed concurrently with this Motion for Expedited Consideration of Joint Motion for Approval of Qualifying Facility and Combined Heat and Power Program Settlement Agreement ("Motion to Expedite"). The Joint Parties request that the Assigned ALJ issue an order adopting the expedited schedule provided below.

As explained in detail in the Joint Motion, there are several conditions precedent to the Settlement Agreement becoming effective. The first condition precedent is Commission approval of the Settlement Agreement.<sup>1</sup> After Commission approval, the investor-owned utilities ("IOUs") will submit an application to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") seeking waiver of their Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act ("PURPA") obligations under Section 210(m) of the Federal Power Act.<sup>2</sup> The Settlement Agreement does not become effective until after FERC approves the PURPA waiver application.<sup>3</sup> Because the IOUs cannot file an application at FERC until <u>after</u> the Commission approves the Settlement Agreement,<sup>4</sup> expeditious review is a necessary first step in satisfying the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Settlement Agreement, § 16.

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{2}{1}$  Id., § 15.1.6.

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{3}{1}$  Id., § 16.2.1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> *Id.*, § 15.1.6.

conditions precedent. Given the substantial benefits of the Settlement Agreement, as explained in detail in the Joint Motion, expeditious consideration and review is warranted.

In addition, no party will be prejudiced by expedited review. The Joint Parties issued a settlement conference notice on September 24, 2010 and provided the Settlement Agreement term sheet and *pro forma* agreements and amendments on the IOUs' websites on October 4, 2010. Thus, non-settling parties have been on notice of the Settlement Agreement and have had copies of the term sheet and associated *pro forma* agreements and amendments before the Joint. Motion was filed. In addition, the Joint Parties presented the Settlement Agreement at a settlement conference held on October 7, 2010.

The Joint Parties are proposing the following schedule for consideration of the Settlement Agreement:

3

Event	Dates Per The Commission's	Proposed Dates
Joint Motion For Approval of Settlement Agreement	Rules	Filed October 8, 2010
Comments on Joint Motion for Approval of Settlement Agreement (Rule 12.2.)	November 8, 2010	October 25, 2010
Reply Comments on Joint Motion for Approval of Settlement Agreement (Rule 12.2.)	November 23, 2010	November 1, 2010
ALJ's Proposed Decision (Rule		November 16, 2010
14.2.) Comments on Proposed Decision (Rule 14.3(a).)	20 days after Proposed Decision	December 6, 2010
Reply comments on Proposed Decision (Rule 14.3(d).)	5 days after opening comments on Proposed	December 13, 2010
Commission vote on Proposed Decision	Decision	December 16, 2010

Based on the foregoing, the Joint Parties respectfully request that the Assigned ALJ adopt

the schedule proposed in this Motion to Expedite.

//

//

Respectfully submitted,

Michael D. Montoya Carol A. Schmid-Frazee Southern California Edison Company 2244 Walnut Grove Avenue Rosemead, CA 91770-3714 Telephone: (626) 302-1337 Facsimile: (626) 302-1935 E-Mail: Carol.SchmidFrazee@sce.com

# Attorneys for Southern California Edison Company

ento 12

Georgetta J. Baker San Diego Gas & Electric Company 101 Ash Street, HQ12 San Diego, CA 92101-3017 Telephone: (619) 699-5064 Facsimile: (619) 699-5027 E-Mail: gbaker@sempra.com

Attorney for San Diego Gas & Electric Company

1500

Jerry R. Bloom Winston & Strawn LLP 333 So. Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071-1504 Telephone: (213) 615-1700 Facsimile: (213) 615-1750 E-Mail: jbloom@winston.com

Attorney for California Cogeneration Council

Mary A. Gahdeery Charles R. Middlekauff Evelyn C. Lee Pacific Gas and Electric Company 77 Beale Street San Francisco, CA 94105-1814 Telephone: (415) 973-0675 Facsimile: (415) 973-5520 E-Mail: magq@pge.com

Attorney for Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Michel Peter Florio The Utility Reform Network 115 Sansome Street, Suite 900 San Francisco, CA 94104-3624 Telephone: (415) 929-8776, ext. 302 Facsimile: (415) 929-1132 E-Mail: mflorio@turn.org

Attorney for The Utility Reform Network

our Ktwhip

Douglas K. Kerner Ellison, Schneider & Harris 2600 Capitol Avenue, Suite 400 Sacramento, CA 95816-5931 Telephone: (916) 447-2166 Facsimile: (916) 446-3512 E-Mail: dkk@eslawfirm.com

Attorney for Independent Energy Producers Association

Michael P. Alcantar Alcantar & Kahl 33 New Montgomery St., Suite 1850 San Francisco, CA 94105-4511 Telephone: (415) 421-4143 Facsimile: (415) 989-1263 E:mail: mpa@a-klaw.com

Attorney for the Cogeneration Association of California and The Energy Producers and Users Coalition

lai

Lisa-Marie G. Salvación Division of Ratepayer Advocates 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102-3214 Telephone: (415) 703-2069 Facsimile: (415) 703-2057 E-Mail: lms@cpuc.ca.gov

Attorney for California Public Utilities Commission, Division of Ratepayer Advocates

October 8, 2010

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY ELECTRONIC MAIL OR U.S. MAIL

I, the undersigned, state that I am a citizen of the United States and am employed in the City and County of San Francisco; that I am over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the within cause; and that my business address is Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Law Department B30A, 77 Beale Street, San Francisco, CA 94105.

I am readily familiar with the business practice of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. In the ordinary course of business, correspondence is deposited with the United States Postal Service the same day it is submitted for mailing.

On the 8<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2010, I caused to be served a true copy of:

# MOTION FOR EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION OF JOINT MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF QUALIFYING FACILITY AND COMBINED HEAT AND POWER PROGRAM SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

[XX] By Electronic Mail – serving the above via e-mail transmission to each of the parties listed on the official service list for R.99-11-022, R.04-04-003, R.04-04-025, R.06-02-013 and A.08-11-001.

I certify and declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this 8th day of October, 2010, at San Francisco, California.

PAMELA J. DAWSON-SMITH